THE COURTS.

Third Day of the Second Trial of Mayer Hall.

Davidson, Keyser and Garvey, the Plasterer, on the Witness Stand.

Forgeries on Keyser's Name to the Tune of \$900,000.

Swindlers Kept the Whole of the Money, Every Cent of It."

Garvey's Conversations with the Mayor.

Bearet History of the Ring and How the Boss Bossed the Legislature at Albany.

THE CASE ADJOURNED TILL MONDAY.

The Grand Jury in the United States Court-Charge of Judge Shipman.

es in the Other Courts-More Mandamuse Against the Board of Audit-The Alleged Forger Denis Noonan in Court-Convictions and Sentences in the General Sessions.

MAYOR HALL'S TRIAL.

The trial of Mayor Hall in the Court of Over and Serminer, before Judge Brady, was resumed yes-terday morning. Public interest in the developnents of the case increases as the evidence of the witnesses proceeds. There was a crowded attendance in the court room throughout the day, several legal and political city celebrities being present. The evidence was mainly that of the sll-known trio, Keyser, Davidson and Garvey, who related, with a simplicity and candor that were positively refreshing, how their bills were first en-larged by themselves and how they became pascive instruments in a further enlargement by

Keyser in his evidence stated that warrants mounting to \$900,000 had attached to them his orged signature, and that of this large amount he received a cent. "THE SWINDLERS KEPT IT ALL,"

aid Mr. Keyser, in a complaining tone; "every

Garvey, the plasterer, was on the stand for a erable time. The main point of his testiony was before reported, except the fact of his mission that he assured Mayor Hall that all his against the city were just and proper, and that he believed the Mayor was ignorant of the rauds that were being perpetrated through his ighout will be found well worthy of perusal be a useful lesson for the citizens to take to heart on the eve of an election that is to place the reins of the government in new hands.

Q. When were your suspicions, if I may use the word, attracted to these vonchers! A. My suspicions were attracted to these vonchers! A. My suspicions were attracted in the Summer of 1870.

Q. May I ask you why you did not mention these suspicions to the Mayor? A. I had no acquaintance with aim; my only friend in that matter was Mr. O'Brien, and I went to him and told him.

Q. If you had known the Mayor as you know him now would you have told him? A. Yes, I would.

Q. Then you told Mr. O'Brien because he was the only friend in public like that you had? A. Yes.

Q. Was the didn't o' the County Auditor attached to these warrangs. A. Yes, sir.

EVIDENCE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR.

Abraham L. Earle, aworn, said:—I am now an official in the Comptroller's office; I am County Auditor; my oplainon is that the signatures of the Mayor are all generate on the exhibits handed to me, except that on

opinion is that the agnatures of the mayor are an genuine on the exhibits handed to me, except that on 1,788.

This witness was not cross-examined.

A document, handed in by Mr. Lynes as a memorandum of the total amount sentered on the record of vouchers from May, 1870, to 1871, was then presented to the Court. The total amount was \$25,571,865 97.

EVIDENCE OF JOHN M'BRIDE DAVIDSON.

John McBride Davidson said:—I am a safe maker, and reside at 116 West Twenty-third street; I made claims against the county in 1870 for furnishing, and received some warrants for the payment; I received the warrant marked Exhibit 23; the endorsement is the endersement of my firm.

Q. Will you state how the bill was made up from which that warrant was paid—how much of it was true, how much of it was rise, and how it was made out?

Before this question was answered Mr. Stoughton inquired whether the prosecution intended to show that the fraud was brought to the knowledge of the Mayor. If not, the evidence was clearly inadmissible.

After a short argument and the declaration of Mr. Tremain that the presecution would submit circumstantial evidence, at least, to connect the defendant remotely or directly with the fraud, the Court allowed the question.

Witness—The hill was made up at \$16,940; that was tion.
Witness—The bill was made up at \$16,940; that was
the amount of the original bill; it then went to Mr. Woodward, and the bill was left with him at \$16,940; I was
then sent to to come and get my pay, and he had enlarged the warrant to \$48,900; he retained the warrant
himself.

imself. Mr. Stoughton—How do you connect Mayor Hall with Mr. Stoughton—How do you connect this?
Mr. Peckham—We connect Mr. Woodward with this; he was the man who did all this business and is connected with all these bills.

The Court—He must prove that these bills were false, and he must show that this was done knowing it to be

false.

A CURIOUS SUM IN ARTHMETIC.

By Mr. Peckham—Q. After you had presented this bill for some \$16,000 when did you next do anything and what was it you did next? A. I came and received the check for \$16,900, and he retained the \$48,000.

Q. Who was the "he?" A. Mr. Woodward or Mr. Wat-

on. Where did you go for that purpose? A. To the Board of Supervisors, in this building.

Q. What was the amount of the warrant? A. \$49,170 42.

Q. Whose check did you receive? A. I cannot remember whose check it was.

Q. What did you do with this warrant? A. He turned it over and I endorsed it "J. McB. Davidson;" he afterwards added "Co." to it; he retained the warrant; I did not. O. Do you know anything about that bill being audited upon which this warrant was issued?

The Court—What bill?

Mr. Peckham—The \$16,240, your Honor.

The Court—He has said he has no knowledge of the sudding of these bills.

The Court—He has said he has no knowledge of the suditing of these bills.

Q. Was this bill sworn to verified at all? A. No, sir. Cross-examined by Mr. Buckley.—Q. You say this bill of your's was not verified? A. Yes, sir.

Q. How long previous to the presentation of this 516,000 bill had you been in the habit of having bills that were not verified? A. I should think three or four years.

Mr. Tremaine said that the Board of Audit was only in existence three or four months before the presentation of this bill.

The Court ruled that this evidence was not admissible.

WANTING TO KNOW ABOUT A COURT HOUSE INDICT-

WANTING TO KNOW ABOUT A COURT HOUSE INDICT-MENT.

The Grand Jury at this point entered with a batch of fadictments. After they had answered to their names.

Mr. Dukes applied for the indictment which he understood had been found against a gentleman who, it was alleged, was connected with the Court House trauds, and he wished to give bail for that defendant and to ask for a speedy trial. They did not want any trouble with the Sheriff.

District Attorney Garvin said that it was very musual for a defendant to appear before the indictment was entered. He thought Mr. Duke was found his friend would not be long before he heard of it in the regular way.

EVIDENCE OF JOHN H. KEYSER.

under some deluxion. When an indictment was found his friend would not be long before he heard of it in the regular way.

Mr. Keyser said—I am a plumber; I have been so for fitten years; I have worked for the county about thirteen years; I have worked for the county about thirteen years; I nemember the passage of the set in 1870, commonly known as the "Ad-interim Board of Audit;" it was formed of the Mayor, the Comptroller and William M. Tweed; I had some claims against the city at that time and presented them to that Board.

Q. Will you state whether these bundles of papers which I now hand you, from August 7 to 16 inclusive, were fills that you made out?

The Mayor to Mr. Feckham—Those are the ten vouchers? A. Yes, sir.

Witness resumed—A. Yes, those are the bills.

Q. Will you state how you made out these bills and all the circumstances that transpired prior to these bills.

seing presented? A. The bills were—at least a large proportion of them—the accumulations of a large amount of work that had been done and never acted upon; these wills were returned from the Comptroller's office, and they called upon me to make new tills and I did so; I added 35% per cent; I was called upon at first by Mr. Watson and afterwards by Mr. Woodward.

Q. When you were called upon to make out new bills where did you go to make them out? A. I went into the old part of the Supervisor's office.

Q. Who was present? A. Mr. Watson, Woodward and a clerk who I suppose is since dend.

Q. Did they aid you in making these bills? A. Yes.

Q. Who was the clerk? A. I don't know his name; he was an engrossing clerk, I think, to the Supervisor.

Q. Those bills were made up in the shape they now exist? A. Yes, gir.

33% per cent.

Q. Did you verify any of these accounts, whether these or any others? A. No. sir.

Q. Do you know whether any of these bills were audited?

A. I did not.

e. Your creditor was the gevernment? A. Yes, sir. Q. And your creditor was poor pay? Yes, sir. I had to wait for years for my money, and had to pay twenty per cent for money to keep along with the work of the public works.

Q. What smount of capital had you in your business in the year 1997. A. From \$150,000 to \$200,000.

Q. Had you any expectation that these bills would go before a Heard of Audit. A. I expected they would go semewhere.

Q. Have you been shown warrants by the Combaving year endorsement on which there was a of your name? A. Yes; \$900,000 of these bil

amounts; when the bills were paid they kept back the money.

Q. At whose suggestion was the thirty-three and a third per cent added? A. Mr. Watson's.

Q. Did you get any of the money upon the warrants that were forged? A. Not a cent.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE MAYOR.

Q. Were any of these small bills, which were the foundation bills, ever before the Board of Supervisors? A. Yes, str.

Q. You did not get any of the money of these forged warrants? A. Not a cent, sir.

The Court adjourned at one o'clock and took a recess of thirty minutes.

After Recess. After Recess.

Mr. Peckham read an extract from the Message of the Mayor, August 16, 1871, requesting the appointment of the Committee of Investigation. The document was handed into the Court.

pointment of the Committee of Investigation. The document was handed into the Court.

Witness continued—Remembers the act of audit being passed; after that made claims against the city; these claims were paid, \$2.69, exhibits 26 to 59 inclusive (warrants) were paid upon my claim; the endorsements upon them (the witness very carefully examined the documents in question) are in my handwriting, with the exception of one signed by my authorization.

Q. State how you came to make out these bills. A. In the month of April Woodward, the Deputy Clerk of Supervisors, asked me how much money was coming to mefor work done and for work in progress, and how much would cover outside work; I told him as near as I could; he then told me to make out my bills; he told me I saw Mr. Watson, the County Auditor, and asked him what I should do; he told me to do as Woodward instructed me, and I made out the bills accordingly and handed them in from time to time.

Q. How much was coming to you out of these bills?

A. \$385,000 I was to get; \$30,000 of this was for work done to the armories, and \$75,000 for work done for Mr. Tweed. Mr. Hall objected that the testimony as to Tweed was inadmissible; testimony as to any work that was done for Tweed. It was not pertinent as against the defence do not wish to stand in the position of requiring any advantage at the expense of supbody else. The testimony, he submitted, was entirely inadmissible.

The Court—All evidence going to show that additions The Court—All evidence going to show that additions

the Court—All evidence going to show that additioner made to valid bills was admissible. But the objection The Court—All evidence going to show that additions were made to valid bills was admissible. But the objection is to the particular statement showing how these additions were made. As to that the Court does not see how the testimony is material.

Mr. Tremain—We propose to show that \$50,000 of this money went to Tweed to be used by him in the Legislature at Albany. Another portion of it was to go to embelishing Tweed's residence. Tweed was at the time a member of the Board of Audit. Then we propose to show the arrangement by which sixty-five per cent of the whole sum was to be retained, with a connected arrangement dating back some two or three years. We then propose to connect the defendant by this testimony so far as to show that on a prior occasion, while the arrangement was in force relating to the retention of a certain amount of the bill, the defendant asked the witness, when he presented the bill, whether or not this was one of the bills in which Tweed was interested, and that witness told thin it was, and that be then signed the warrant.

The Court—If you design to connect the defendant by direct proof with a knowledge of this arrangement, the testimony must be admitted.

Mr. Stoughton—We have no objection to that. We with-

A. w. Woodward, the pervisors.

Mr. Stoughton submitted there was no relevancy in the testimony with the case against the defendant. They proposed to connect Mr. Hall with the arrangement referred to. The witness has gone on giving testimony with reference to his deposits, and now they come to the checks. It is not pretended that Mr. Hall received one dollar of this money. No one has yet had the hardlhood o suggest that, and I suggest to Your Honor whether this testimony comes within your ruling as to the admisto suggest that, and I suggest to Your Honor whether this testimony comes within your ruling as to the admissibility of the testimony.

Mr. Tremain—What do you object to? These checks are put in evidence as part of the arrangement, and giving the sums that he was to deliver over from the \$300,000 to the amount of sixty-five per cent.

The Court—The question is whether the witness paid back, in pursuance of that arrangement, this money in checks. If the defence require the particulars they can have them. It appears to me that would be the proper rourse. If the prosecution intends to connect the defendant with these payments I admit it.

Mr. Stoughton—They say they intend to connect the defendant with the transaction in some way. I didn't suppose Your Honor would admit testimony in that indefinite way.

The Court—I will admit no testimony on any indefinite statements.

The Court—I will knill to restain the constraint of the Court—We have no objection, then.

Mr. Stoughton—We have no objection, then.

Q. Had you any conversation with Mayor Hall any time in 1871—a short time after the frauds had been made public?

A. I remember meeting Mr. Hall in the vestibule of the Court House one day, and I told him I was very anxious about being sued and having an injunction served against me, and I asked him if there was any danger of these fellows

anxious about being suced and having an injunction served against me, and I asked him it there was any danger of these fellows

PROCEEDING AGAINST US BOYS, and taking our property from us; Mr. Hall said, "Who is going to do it?" that was the answer he made me.

Q. How long did you know Mr. Tweed? A. Probably twenty years.

Q. Did you see Mr. Hall in 1869 with reference to the warrant that was paid over to Tweed? A. Some time in 1869! was at the Mayor's Office, at the request of Woodward and the rest of them; Mr. Hall was not in his office at the time, and I waited dil after three o'clock; I subsequently saw Mr. Hall and he signed the warrants for me, and I gave them to Mr. Ingersoil the next morning.

Q. Was any reference made to Tweed at that time? A. Yes, Mr. Hall looked at the bill and asked me if those were Tweed's matters; I think I said yes; i made out all the bills according to instructions from Woodward; my bank account shows that I paid all the sums they demanded; I had to do precisely as they told me.

Q. Did you make any payments directly to Tweed?

A. Yes, in 1867; that was the way it began.

Q. What was the percentage them? A. Fifteen per cent; that lasted for some time; I made but a few payments at that rate; it then rose to twenty, thirty and sixty-five per cent.

Q. Did you do any work for anybody else's house by di-

that lasted for some time; I made but a rew payments at that rate; it then rose to twenty, thirty and sixty-five per cent.

Q. Did you do any work for anybody else's house by direction of Mayor Hall? A. Some orders for work were given to me by Woodward, but I don't know that Mr. Hall had anything to do with them.

Q. Was any "auntle" paid to you by anybody in connection with this work, and by whom, it such was paid?

Mr. Buckley—Who is aunite. Is not that a slang phrase?

Mr. Buckley—Who is aunite. Is not that a slang phrase?

Mr. Buckley—Who is aunite. Is not that a slang phrase?

Mr. Buckley—Who is aunite. Is not that a slang phrase?

Mr. Buckley—Who is aunite. Is not that a slang phrase?

Mr. Buckley—Who is a not the told me that certain bills were to be made out, and that upon them forty per cent would be deducted: I objected to this, and he said that it had to be done, and I did it.

Q. Did you present any warrants in 1870 to Mayor Hall?

A. There were some warrants before him for several weeks; the amount was for \$42,000, for work done to the reservoir in Pith avenue.

Mr. Hall—Opposite to my house?

Wirness—Yes, Mr. Hall.

Q. Had you anythou or warrant? A. Nos; I went to see about it; none one eccasion Mr. Connolly asked me why for you; he told me to see him; I went and saw Mr. Hall and spoke to him about it; Mr. Hall said that he wanted to take that check to Albany and make some inquiries about it; he said." I think that will be all right, but I must dan the for Garvey—Who said this? A. You did, sir. A juror (to witness)—Repeat that statement.

Witness repeated the alleged conversation and added that warrant must have been overdrawn; it never was paid. paid.
Q. Did you ever make any presents to the Mayor in 1867 r A. I did not myself, but a friend did a little thing in that way, to which I contributed at his suggestion; it did not emanate from me; he bought

Two Little Figers of Silver,
and, I believe, sent them in my name and in his own name.

and. I believe, sent them in my name and in his own name.

Q Did you get an acknowledgment from the Mayor of the receipt of that present? A. I did.

Q. Where is it? A. I haven it with me.

Q. Is it in existence? A. I don't know.

Q. Did you look for it? A. Yes.

Q. State the contents of it?

Mr. Stoughton—Walt a moment.

The Court—Witness has not yet established the fact of its being searched for and its loss.

Q. State what you did to find it? A. I looked in some of my bureau drawers and failed to find it; I have not been able to find it yet; but I may be able to find it.

Q. Will you endeavor to find it when you go home? A. I will.

Q. Do you think you may be able to find it? A. I didn't look for it thoroughly; I will look for it again.

Q. That warrant you presented to Mayor Hall for his signature after the presentation of the silver to him by your friend and yourself—was it an enlarged bill? A. Yes.

Q. What was the percentage on that bill? A. I do not

Q. That warrant yourself—was it an enlarged bill? A. Yes.

Q. What was the percentage on that bill? A. I do not think that for that bill I got more than twenty-five percent of the amount; I have got ingersoil's check for the amount I got from it.

A JURON'S QUESTION,

One of the jurors (No. 5) on the front row expressed a wish to put a question.

Counsel on either side having no objection, and the Gourt permitting, juror No. 5 asked him to repeat his story of the presentation of the little piece of silver. It appeared that Ingersoil originated the idea.

Q. Do you recollect having any private consultation with anybody besides Woodward in these transactions? A. Yes, with Watson and another party, who was aware of all these transactions; that was Ingersoil; I never spoke to the Mayor at all except about this particular warrant.

Q. Who bought that silver? A. My idea is that it emanated from Ingersoil; he got it without my knowledge; I subsequently paid my portion of the cost, as he asked me for it siterwards.

Mr. Stoughton—Find that note of acknowledgments

A few unimportant questions in addition having been put and answered.

Mr. Hall asked permission of the Court to ask the witness a question, the time for adjournment having arrived. The Court assented.

Mr. Hall to Garvey—Q. In whatever conversations the Mayor may have had with you prior to August, 1871, did you not always assert, down to this time, that all your bills against the city and county had been legal and proper? A. To you, sir.

Mr. Hall—Ves, sir, in whatever conversations you may have had with the Mayor about that time, and at all time, and you not always assert, down to this time, and at all time, and you nessert to him that your claims against the county—your set of claims—were proper claims?

Garvey—I don't recollect many conversations, because I didn't often come in contact with you.

Mr. Hall (repeating the question)—In whatever conversations, few or many, you may have had with the Mayor prior to August, 1871, did you not lead the Mayor to believe that all your claims against the county were just?

Mr. Peckham—I object. This would be a matter not of fact, but of interence. Question admitted.

Mr. Hall—Do you recollect meeting the Mayor at the corner of Third avenue and Seventh street, I think, near the Stuyvesant Bank and the avenue, a short time after these troubles began?

Mr. Garvey—I don't remember the precise words, but it wasn't likely that I was going to tell you that they were unjust.

Mr. Garvey—I don't remember the precise words, but it wasn't hkely that I was going to tell you that they were unjust.

it wasn't likely that I was going to the your unjust.

This answer elicited great excitement in the court room, which would have broken out into a noisy demonstration but for the prompt action of the Bench, who promptly suppressed what appeared to be a popular feeing in behalf of the accused.

Mr. Hall at once resumed his seat and the proceedings for the day closed, the Court adjourning till Monday next, at eleven o'clock A. M.

BUSINESS IN THE OTHER COURTS.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

Swearing In the Grand Jury. Yesterday the Grand Jury of the United States Circuit Court was sworn in before Judge Shipman. The foreman is Mr. John T. B. Maxwell. THE CHARGE.

Judge Shipman said they were aware that they had been summoned for the purpose of making an inquiry into any alleged offences against the laws of the United States. As he had not been informe what classes of offences would be submitted to their inquiry he had no special instructions to give them. If any question of law arose upon which they desired instructions as to the construction of a statute or other things of that character they could come into Court and he would offer such instructions as they might desire. No man could be tried by a petty jury in the United States until he had been first presented by a grand jury of the district in which he had committed an offence. It would be the duty of the District Attorney to furnish them with evidence, so that they might be able to find such indictments as they thought they ought to find. The District Attorney would also refer them to the statutes on which the indictments should be founded. They should see from an examination of witnesses that an offence had been committed. The rule of evidence was that they were to be satisfied, on the proofs brought before them, whether they would warrant a petty jury to find a verdict of guilty in the absence of explanation on the part of an accused person. The defendant did not come before them, and it was their duty to pass on the evidence presented to them, and say if, in the absence of explanation on the part of a defendant, it would justify them in finding a true bill. If the evidence did not come up to that standard they were not to find a true bill. No bill could be found against an accused person unless tweive of the grand jury agreed to it and sixteem of their number must be present to form a quorum. They were to receive their information under oat; their foreman was authorized to administer an oath. They were not to listen to any one on the business they had to do; they were to keep their oaths. Public rumor was not a subject of consideration for the Grand Jury. Under their oaths they were to say whether a man was guilty or not. The Judge concluded with these what classes of offences would be supmitted to their inquiry he had no special instructions to give them. ject of consideration for the Grand Jury. Under their oaths they were to say whether a man was guilty or not. The Judge concluded with these words:—"If any offence has been committed to the knowledge of any of you individually, you are bound to disclose it to the jury. See that the wit-nesses are summoned and make inquiry as to any offence that may thus come within the personal knowledge of any of you."

The Grand Jury then retired to their room.

Action for Not Stamping Receipts.
The Upited States vs. the Hudson River Railroad Company.—This was an action brought by the government against the defendants to recover government against the defendants to recover from the latter the sum of \$550 for not stamping receipts. The suit is instituted under section 168 of the Act of June 30, 1864, as amended by the Act of Jule 13, 1866. The attorneys desired to try the case before the Court and not by a jury. There was a verdict for the government.

Judge Shipman, in discharging the petit jurors until Monday, said:—"As the lawyers are so fond of making the Court do the work while they pocket the fees, the petit jurors are discharged till Monday."

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONERS' COURT. Charge of Obstructing a Deputy Marshal.

Before Commissioner Betts.
The United States vs. Jeremiah Kiely.—The de

fendant, who resides at 369 Pearl street, was charged with obstructing an election Deputy Marshal in the discharge of his duty. It appears Marshal in the discharge of his duty. It appears that the Marshal, whose name is Henry C. Bowers, went to the defendant's house and began questioning him about his age, name, &c. Kleip told the Marshal that he must clear out and that he would answer him no questions. Bowers then obtained a warrant for the arrest of Kiely, who was taken before the Commissioner and admitted to ball in \$1,000. The examination was set down for Monday next.

Mandamuses Against the Board of Audit— Opposing Counsel Getting His Blood

The cases of Robert M. Grant, Charles S. Thatcher, Francis A. Connolly and John T. Baker, former clerks in the Finance Department, for arrearages of salary claimed to be due them, cam up for a hearing yesterday morning on the return to the mandamuses previously applied for against the Board of Audit and Apportionment, the facts of which have already been published. The reof which have already been published. The returns, verified by the Deputy Comptroller, set forth that the relators were not employed during the time for which pay is asked, and performed no services. In answer to this Mr. Frederick H. Kellogg, their counsel, insisted that these returns were false, and produced affidavits and written discharges of the men, showing their employment at the time in question. He said that the Deputy Comptroller knew that the returns were false, and that the counsel knew them to be false. He threatened that he would take the cases before the Grand Jury and seek their indictment for making such false returns.

"You can try it if you choose," said the Judge very quietly.

very quietly. "Well, I will try it," replied by Kellogg, with em-"Well, I will try it," replied by Kellogg, with emphatic earnesiness.

After some further remarks the Judge took the papers in Baker's case, but said that an issue should be framed in the other cases. He added that this would make new costs.

"No matter what the costs are," answered Mr. Kellogg; "they will contest them any way, and claim that the city should lay no costs in these cases when so much unnecessary time and delay have been caused in their peculiar mode of defending." Here the matter dropped.

The Alleged Irish Forger, Dennis Noonan.

On Monday last Captain Irving, of the detective squad, boarded the steamer City of Brooklyn in consequence of having received a cable despatch from the Unief of Police of Queenstown requesting the arrest of Dennis Noonan on a charge of forgery, and among 400 passengers singled out the fugitive. Noonan was at once lodged in Folice Headquarters, where he has since been detained. His friends, however, secured for him the services of Mr. William F. Howe, as counsel, who, yesterday morning, applied to and received from Judge Ingravam a writ of habeas

An Old Offender Convicted of Robbe and Sout to the State Prison for Twenty

Years. Before Recorder Hackett.

The first case which Assistant District Attorney Sullivan presented for the consideration of the jury yesterday was an indictment for the serious offence of robbery in the first degree preferred against John Warren, who had not a very pleasing was the complainant. He said that on the night of the 13th of September, between half-past nine and

ten o'clock, while passing through Elizabeth street, near the corner of Canal; three men attacked him, one taking hold of each arm, and while so held the prisoner stole his pocketbook, which happened to only contain \$2 05. As soon as he screamed "police" the men let go their hold and ran away. The complainant pursued Warren, who was arrested by a policeman a few minutes after the occurrence. Counsel for the prisoner called up a young man who gave his name as Thomas Lestrange, and asked the complainant if he remembered getting a drink at a saloon in Elizabeth street that night from Lestrange, and he said he did not, and also denied drawing a knife on the accused.

street that night from Lestrange, and he said he did not, and also denied drawing a knife on the accused.

Officer Beam stated that on the night of the 13th September he heard the cry of "Police," and saw the prisoner running from the corner of Elizabeth street, pursued by the complainant, who cried, "This man has robbed me;" Warren ran into the officer's arms, who, after taking him to the station house, instituted a search for the pocketbook, which was missing, he having rid himself of it previous to being captured. The only witness for the defence was Thomas Lestrange, who said he kept a saleon at No. 38 Elizabeth street; that on this night Stravoss got a drink there and said he did not have any money to pay for it; that he followed him out and saw an altercation between Warren and Stravoss. The witness saw the complainant kick the prisoner and attempt to draw something out of his pocket; they had a scuffle, and in a little while the complainant hallooed "Murder, watch!" after which the officer ran from towards the Bowery and caught Warren; he (Lestrange) remarked to the officer that the complainant was the man who ought to have been arrested. The witness said he had known Warren only a month.

Officer Beam, who has been on the force twelve years, and who appeared to be very intelligent and seli-possessed, was recalled, and positively contradicted Lestrange, saying that he never saw him before; that he made no such remark to him as he swore to; that the prisoner deliberately ran into his arms, and, in addition, said that the place Lestrange claimed to keep was a resort for thieves and prostitutes.

The prisoner's counsel very prudently refrained from putting him on the stand, fearing that the ugly fact would be brought out that Mr. Warren had served two terms in the State Prison.

The Recorder delivered, as ususal, a fair and impartial charge, leaning rather towards a verdict of larceny from the person than the higher crime of robbery; but when the jury rendered a verdict of larceny from the person than the h

Matricide-The Prisoner Sent to the State

Prison for Two Years.

Joseph Dykes was put on trial, charged with mansiaughter, in causing the death of Margaret Dykes, his mother, on the 15th of August. Mr. Kintzing, who defended the prisoner, consented that the testimony taken at the Coroner's inquest might be read to the jury as evidence, most of the witnesses having failed to answer to their names witnesses having failed to answer to their names when called. It would appear from their depositions that Mrs. Dykes lived at the tenement house 442 West Twenty-eighth street; that the prisoner otten got drunk and beat his mother; that on the 14th of August a man prevented him from striking her, and that on the following day, when the officer was called in, he found the woman black and blue and marks of violence upon different parts of her body. She had him arrested for assault and battery. The deceased was admitted into the Believue Hospital on the 20th of August suffering from extensive contusions, and she died four days atterwards. Drs. Cushman and Mitchell were of the opinion that death was caused by external injuries combined with Bright's disease of the kidneys.

Before the case was given to the jury Mr. Kint zing preferred not to run the risk of the possibility of a conviction of mansianghter in the second degree by offering to plead guilty to mansiangher in the fourth degree.

Mr. Shilivan and the Recorder consented to take that plea, and Dykes was sent to the State Prison for two years.

Assistant District Attorney Sullivan has had a homicide case placed on the calendar almost each day during the term, and thus far a number of them have been disposed of, after a careful examination of the facts, in an intelligent and satisfactory manner by the prosecuting officer and Recorder Hackett.

A Trio of Innocent Alleged "Cracksmen."

John O'Brien (a boy) was tried upon a charge of burglariously entering the Honor steep of the Murglariously entering the Honor when called. It would appear from their deposi

John O'Brien (a boy) was tried upon a charge of burglariously entering the liquor store of John W.

stealing \$30 worth of cigars. The officer swore that when he arrested O'Brien he said he would "put a head" on his accomplice for

he said he would "put a head" on his accomplice for "squealing" on him.

Mr. Kintzing raised the point that the prosecution failed to produce the owner of the property or any competent witness to prove the burgiary.

The Recorder held that the objection was good, and directed the jury to render a verdict of not guilty. In discarging O'Brien His Honor said, "You will be here in a very short time again,"!

John Langdon and Henry Merrill were also tried and acquitted, the indictment alleging that on the 5th of September they committed a burgiary upon the residence of Henry D. Rolph, 716 Fifth avenue, stealing two fancy clocks. The only evidence pointing to the connection of the prisoners with the orime was that the clocks were found at a disreputable house in West Houston street which they were in the habit of frequenting. Mr. Price, who defended the accused, did not hurt his case by attempting to prove the innocence of his chents, who were acquitted by the jury.

JEFFERSON MARKET POLICE COURT. Dead in the Prisoners' Box.

On Thursday afternoon a German named Augustus Billinger, apparently about fifty years of age, was picked up on the street by an officer in a state of intoxication and carried to the Sixteenth precinct station house. Upon being questioned he gave his station house. Upon being questioned he gave his residence at No. 354 West Thirty-second street. Yesterday morning, in company with the usual batch of prisoners, he was brought to the Second district station house and placed in the prisoners' box. About the time the watch was being discharged he was taken with a fit, and, failing on the floor, immediately expired. The body was carried to the Morgue, and, subsequently, to his late residence, where Coroner Herrman will hold an inquest. BROOKLYN COURTS.

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

The Fitzpatrick ase Postponed. Before Judge Gilbert.
The case of Thomas Fitzpatrick, who was indicted

on the charge of kicking and beating his wife to death at 286 Van Brunt street, was to have been tried yesterday. The Judge, however, was to have been tried yesterday. The Judge, however, was hearing an unfinished case in Circuit, and as that had priority Fitzpatrick's trial could not take place, and it was afterwards postponed until next term. The defendant is out on bail.

CITY COURT-SPECIAL TERM District Attorney Britton's Libel Suits.

District Attorney Britton's Libel Suits.

Before Judge Neilson.

District Attorney Britton brought suit against Henry Richard, the proprietor of a local sheet, for alleged libel and claimed \$20,000 damages. Richard was arrested on an order issued by Judge Neilson and admitted to ball in the sum of \$10,000. Subsequently he applied to have the amount of ball reduced to \$500. Yesterday Judge Neilson rendered a decision denying the application.

The Murdered Policeman.

Two of the detained witnesses in the case of Rogers, the convicted murderer of Policeman Donahue, were admitted to bail in \$500 each yester-Donahue, were admitted to ball in \$600 each yester-day. The witnesses are McLaughlin and Gardiner, Mrs. Rogers, the mother of the condemned man, who has not spoken to her son for five years, learned of her son's conviction for the first time yesterday. She was greatly affected, and will make the most strenuous efforts to rescue him from the gallows.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.—Nos. 178, 147 to 153 inclusive, 8, 161, 212, 217, 6, 7, 106, 221, 222, 223, 224 to 232 inclusive.

"TOO MANY COOKS."

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HERALD:-In your issue of to-day you have inserted under the head of "Jefferson Market Police Court" an item headed "How to Keep a Boarding House," Deimomico's, corner of Fourteenth street and Fifth avenue, was brought up charged with stealing a fowl and other eatables from that establishment. Now, Mr. Editor, in the first place, the man's name is Martial Laforgue, and, second, he was not a cook, neither was he a pastry cook, as during all the time he worked in this hotel he filled the position of baker, and had nothing whatever to do with anything else. By giving the above space in your valuable paper you will greatly oblige Ch. Laisouette, A. Denize, D, L. Gueugrand, A. Ormand, N. Fagel-Cooka. mand, N. Palgel Ooch New York, Oct. 24, 1872

MARRIAGES AND DEATHS.

Married.

Thursday, October 24, in the Presbyterian church, by Rev. H. V. B. Myers, of Upper Red Hook, Henry M. Rellinger to Mary P. Myers, daughter of Wm. H. Myers, Esq.

Brown-Wellington.—In this city, on Thursday, October 24, 1812, by Rev. S. D. Burchard, Charles A. Brown, of Rahway, N. J., to Lizzie S. Wellington, of New York. No cards.

Bulking—Thourson.—On Thursday, October 24, by Rev. Johns L. Danner, Edgar O. Bulking and Mattie Mixon, daughter of James B. Thompson, Esq., sil of the city.

Cave.—Skith.—On Thursday, October 4, at the residence of the bride's parents, by the Rev. Charles Beattle, of Middletown, Charles J. Gavz. of Brooklya, to Miss Mary A., daughter of Ambrose D. Smith, Esq., of Monticello, N. Y. No cards.

IRVING—KYLE.—On Thursday, October 24, 1872, by the Rev. J. L. Danner, Washington Krying to Harlet, daughter of the late Joseph Kyle, Esq., all of this city. No cards.

Lines Myer.—On Wednesday, October 23, 1872, by the Rev. Father Rotchford, O. P., Orlando K. Lines to Fannie M., youngest daughter of the late Abraham D. Myer, all of this city. No cards.

Meeks—Bragaw.—On Thursday, October 17, at the residence of the bride's father, Long Island City, by Rev. B. F. Stead, Fredrick L. Meeks, of New York, to Margaret G., only daughter of Richard Bragaw.

Parrott—Galle.—At Conoes, N. Y., on Wednesday, October 23, 1872, by the Rev. Mr. Grant, Dr. Malcolm E. Parrott, of Poughkeepsie, to Miss Minnie F. Galle, of Choes.

ROSERSON—TERPENY.—On Thursday, October 10, at the residence of the bride's parents, by the Rev. W. Bowdish, Edmund Robertson to Kate E., daughter of Nathaniel B. Terpeny, Esq., all of Harlem.

RUSSELL—Gilson.—On Wednesday, October 23, at the residence of Judge M. R. M. Wallace, Chicago, Ill., by the Rev. Dr. Ryder, William Ingra-Ham Bussell. of Brooklyn, N. Y., to Ella Olive, youngest daughter of Mrs. G. W. Gilson, of Chicago. No cards.

SHERWOOD—RUCK.—On Thursday, October 24, at St. Luke's church, by the Rev. Dr. Tuttle, Rhoder W. Sherwood—Ruck.—On Thursday, October 24, at St. Luke's chur

No cards.

SHERWOOD—RUCK.—On Thursday, October 24, at SHERWOOD to AUGUSTA E. RUCK, daughter of Peter Ruck, all of this city.

STILLMAN—TRACY.—On Wednesday evening, October 23, at the Olinton Avenue Congregational church, Brooklyn, by the Rev. Dr. Buddington, Mr. FRANKLIN W. STILLMAN, of this city, to Miss Ollie Tracy, of Brooklyn.

Died.

ATWELL.—At Williamsburg, on Thursday, October 24, William ATWELL, in the 26th year of his age. The friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, at two P. M. on Sunday, the 27th, from his late residence, corner of Seventh street and Broadway.

BRADY.—On Thursday, October 24, after a short illness, Peter Brady, a native of parish Columbkill, county Longford, Ireland, aged 32 years.

The relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, on Sunday atternoon, at one o'clock, from his late residence, 87 Third street.

BROWN.—On Friday, October 25, Thomas Brown, in the 17th year of his age.

The relatives and irlends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from the residence of his parents, 25 West Houston street, on Sunday afternoon, at half-past one o'clock.

BIDWELL.—Suddenly, on Thursday, October 24, Marshall S. Bidwell.

Notice of funeral hereafter.

BOSCII.—On Thursday, October 24, Hermann Boscii, aged 43 years.

Relatives and friends of the family, also the members of United Brothers' Lodge, 356, F, and A. M., are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from his late residence, 17 Broome street, on Sunday, the 27th inst., at half past one o'clock P. M.

BUCKLEY.—On Friday, October 25, at her residence, No. 17 Forsyth street, after a long and severe illness, Mrs. Mary Syevers Buckley, of Kings county, Ireland, in the 70th year of her age.

CARTER.—At Elmira, N. Y., on Wednesday, October 23, Edward Oarter, aged 66 years.

The funeral will take place from the Church of the Heavenly Rest, Fifth avenue, between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth streets, this (Saturday) morning, at eleven o'clock.

CASS.—On Wednesday, October 23, 1872, ELIZA, the beloved wife of John Cass, in the 26th year of her age.

Relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, on Saturday, at one o'clock P. M., from her late residence, 240 West Twentieth street.

DE CORDOVA.—On Thursday night, October 24, George, son of Rafael J. and Fan

birthday), at ten o'clock A. M.

De Voe.—At High Bridge, Westchester county, N. Y., on Friday, October 29, 1872, JENNIE B., eldest daughter of David McLeod and wife of Smith A. De Voe.

The relatives and friends of the family are affectionately invited to attend the uneral, from the residence of her father, at High Bridge, on Sunday, 27th inst., at two P. M. Train leaves Central depot at eleven A. M. for High Bridge, on Sunday, 27th inst., at two P. M. Train leaves Central depot at eleven A. M. for High Bridge, on Sunday, 21th inst., at two P. M. Train leaves Central depot at eleven A. M. for High Bridge, on Sunday afternoon, at half-past four o'clock at his late residence, 250 South Fifth street, Brooklyn, E. D. Relatives and friends are invited to attend. His remains will be taken to Tarrytown for interment on Monday, at eleven o'clock, A. M.

JONN,—A. Fordham, on Wednesday, October 23, JONN DUNN, aged 40 years.

Funeral from Contraction of the family are respectable, and the state of the family are invited to attend his funeral on Sunday afternoon, at two o'clock, from the residence of his mother, 110 Ewen street, Williamsburg, N. Y.

Greek,—At Saratoga Springs, on Wednesday, October 9, Cattararing, wife of Arthur Green, late of New Jersey, in the 5th year of her age, Herseworth,—Greek,—At Saratoga Springs, on Wednesday, October 9, Cattararing, wife of Arthur Green, late of New Jersey, in the 5th year of her age, Herseworth,—Briday October 28, Althursworth, St., aged 68 years and 8 mouths,

Relatives and friends of the family are respectably invited to attend the funeral, this (Saturday) afternoon, at two o'clock, from the residence of her solvent of the

day, October 28, at ten o'clock A. M., from Ascension church, corner of Fifth avenue and Tenth street.

McBarron.—On Friday, October 25, 1872, Catherine McBarron, a native of county Fermanagh, Ireland, in the 72d year of her age.

The relatives and friends of the deceased are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from her late residence, 414 East Tenth street, on Sunday, October 27, 1872, at two o'clock P. M.

McCornick.—On Thursday morning, October 24, at half-past seven o'clock, Charles J. McCornick, son of Patrick and the late Elizabeth McCornick, aged 31 years, 8 months and 22 days.

A solemn requient mass for the repose of his soul will be celebrated this day (Saturday), at half-past nine o'clock A. M., at St. Bernard's church, West Thirteenin street, between Ninth and Tenth avenues. His remains will be taken from the above church at half-past one o'clock P. M. and interred in Calvary Cemetery. The relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend.

McGuire.—On Friday, October 25, Rev. Hugh McGuire. pastor of St. John's church, Brooklyn,

Irish papers please copy.

Powers.—On Friday, October 24, Mrs. Mark Powers, widow of Captain Powers, in the 74th year of her age.

The friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, on Sunday, at half-past one o'clock, from the residence of her son, William R. Powers, 10 Morris place, Forty-second street.

PRITCHET.—On Thursday morning, October 24, 1872, after a short but severe illness, James 8. PRITCHET, in the 65th year of his age.

Relatives and friends of the family, also those of his son-in-law, Ed. C. Bloodgood, are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, on Sunday, October 27, at one o'clock P. M.

QUERIFEL.—Suddenly, on Wednesday, October 23, GEORGE V., youngest son of Henry and Ann Queripel, aged 27 years and 23 days.

The relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from his late residence, 47 King street, this day (Saturday), at one o'clock, without further invitation.

REYNOLD.—At Jersey City (formerly Bergen), on Thursday, October 24, Fanny, widow of Dr. Charles Reynold, of the above.

The relatives and friends of the family are most respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from her late residence, No. 4 Wiley place, on Sunday afternoon, at two o'clock.

RHALL.—JOHN RHALL, of county Longford, Newtown Forbes, Ireland.

Relatives and friends are requested to attend the funeral, on Sunday, October 27, at two P. M., from No. 28 Firman street, Brooklyn.

RLACH—On Friday. October 25. CHRISTIAN ES-

England, in the 74th year of her age.
Friends are invited to attend the funeral service, at her late residence, 226 Henry street, on Monday afternoon, 23th inst., at half-past two o'clock.

SACKETE.—In Brooklyn, on Wednesday, October 23, 1872, William H. SACKETT, aged 73 years, for many years associated with the Mercantile Agency, New York.

Relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, at his late residence, 42 Ford Greene place, Brooklyn, on Sunday afternoon, October 27, at half-past two o'clock.

SCHEORDER.—On Friday, October 25, at the Baptist Home for the Aged, Miss CATHARDE.

SCHEORDER, aged 85 years and 7 months.

Her friends and the members of the Tabernack Baptist church are invited to attend the funeral services at the Home, No. 41 Grove street, ou Saturday afternoon, at one o'clock.

SHERIDAN.—On Thursday, October 24, WILLIAM SHERIDAN, son of Bernard and the late Catharing Sheridan, aged 17 years.

The relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend his funeral, from his late residence, 32 Madison street, on Saturday, 22th linst., at half-past one o'clock P. M.

SHONS.—On Thursday, October 24, JETTE SHEONE wife of Henry Simons.

The relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, on Sunday, October 27, at ten o'clock A. M., from 988 Second avenue.

Chicago and Hartford papers please copy.

THURSTON.—At Elizabeth, M. J., on Thursday, October 24, HENRY W. THURSTON, only son of the late Jasper A. Thurston.

The relatives and friends are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, from the chapei of the First Baptist Church, corner of Thirty-inith street and Park avenue, New York city, this (Saturday) morning, October 26, at half-past nine o'clock.

VAN TASSELL—HEXCHAIGE COUNCIL, No. 14.

O. U. A. M. BROTHERS.—You are hereby notified to meet at the Council Chamber No. 189 Bowery, on Sunday, October 28, at half-past nine o'clock A. M. sharp, to attend the funeral of our late brother, Jacob Van Tassell.—All sisters councils are respectfully invited

BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES.

HALF INTEREST FOR SALE IN A VALUABLE article of safety needed in every family; just pat d. For particulars address J. G., box 205 Herald

A RARE OPPORTUNITY.—WANTED, AN ENER.
getic, honest and sober man as a partner in the real
estate, auction and commission business, already established: \$1,000 espitial will be required. Call personality
on R. W. EDMONDS, opposite the depot, Mount Vernon,
Westchester commissions. A GENTLEMAN OF HIGH BUSINESS ATTAINMENTS, A several years head bookkeeper and financial mana-ger in a large city house, having \$1,000 cash and \$7,000 other assets, which he is unwilling to sell at present,

A WELL PAYING AND OLD ESTABLISHED MANUA facturing business; article staple; sells for casha poreorders than factory can turn out; satisfactory rea-sons for selling.

sons for selling.

LLOYD, 29 Broadway.

PARTNER WANTED IN A BREWERY, NOW IN full operation, with capital to extend the trade, Address JOHN BARLEYCORN, Post office, Brooklyn, New York. CTOCK COMPANY FORMING,—CAPITAL PAID IN \$ \$65,000; trade established and increasing; factory now working; profits large. Address for interview B., box 122 Herald office.

THE UNDERSIGNED, WISHING TO DISSOLVE PART.

The arship and retire from business, offers for sale their business and machinery for manutacturing mosquito necting and buckram; if not disposed of by November will be sold at auction.

A. & G. McLEAN, 256 West Twenty-second street.

WANTED—A SPROIAL PARTNER IN THE WHOLE-sale Wine and liquor business, with a capital of \$6,000, to join an active man, who has been in the business 20 years and has a good trade established; can rurnish best dity reterence for honesty, hard worker, &c.; will do the travelling, selling, &c., without agents; an interview with a business man will satisfy him it is a sate and profitable investment. Address ST. GEORGE, Herald office. WANTED—IN THE STATIONERY BUSINESS, A. party who can bring city trade. Address, with references, D. M., Herald office.

WANTED—A PARTNER, WITH \$4,000 TO \$5,000, TO buy an interest in a valuable invention that only awaits capital and energy to introduce and sell it largely; profits amply satisfactory, as will be shown at interview. Address (to November 1) MERCER, Herald office.

\$2.500 TO \$3,000,—WANTED, A PARTNER TO join advertiser in an established manufacturing business; one who can take charge of the financial matters required; principals only dealt with. For particulars address D. F., Herald onlice.

\$15.000.—GENTLEMAN OR LADY WITH THIS \$15.000. amount wanted immediately to join a well known hotel man with no cash, but trade at command, in taking a first class hotel on Broadway, now full and paying. Address box 4,429 Post office. HOUSES, ROOMS, &C., WANTED.

In this City and Brooklyn. A FRENCH FLAT WANTED-WITH SEVEN ROOMS, any time to November 15, in good location.
L. J. HOWE, 10 Pine street.

L. J. HOWE, 10 Pine street.

PLAT WANTED-FOR A SMALL FAMILY: MUSP
have six or seven rooms; uptown preferred; renk
not over \$60. Address 30 Broad street, room 25. FURNISHED HOUSE WANTED IMMEDIATELY.—
Wanted until May 1, 1873, a three or four story House
between Twenty-third and Forty-second streets and Lexington and Sixth avenues. Any person having such to
let, at a tair rent, will hear of a good and careful tenam
(a private family) by addressing a note to J. H., box 13
Heraid office.

Heraid office.

WANTED—A FURNISHED HOUSE, FOR A FAMILY
of adults, with lease of five years or less; possession immediately; location between Twentieth and
Forticth streets and Fourth and Seventh avenues; rem
monthly in advance and references; price \$3,000 to \$3,000
a year. Address HASTE, box 134 Heraid Uptown Branch
office, 1,265 Broadway.

WANTED-SMALL HOUSE OR NICE FLOOR, FOR private family of three adults; must be in good neighborhood; rent of house about \$1,400.

B. FLANAGAN, 401 Fourth avenue.

WANTED TO LEASE—A SMALL STORE, BELOW Ann street and east of Broadway, Nassau street, Fulton street or Maiden lane preferred. Address P. B. P. box 3,341 Post office, New York.

TORTOISE SHELL WANTED-BY PETERS BROS SE WANTED TO PURCHASE—A SECOND HAND HOIST Wheel, hand power. Address, stating price, box 27 Hoboken (N. J.) Post office.

GUERLAIN—15 RUE DE LA PAIX, PARIS.
Ambrosial Gream for shaving.
Fashionable Periume for the handkerchief.
Eau Lustrale for the hair.
Eau de Cologne (preparation speciale).

THE QUEEN'S HOTEL, NEAR THE CRYSTAE Palace, Upper Norwood, London.—To tourism and families; its elevated, pleasant and most salubrious postion, combined with the comfort afforded and its general management, have made the Queen's Hotel a favorite resort of the upper ranks of English society.

THE COSMOPOLITAN, AMERICAN AND ENGLISH Drinking Bar, No. 4 Rue Scribe, Paris.—American visiting Paris are informed that the Cosmopolitan is famed for the best American and English drinks. Proprietors, G. VALENTINE & CO.